

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/717,332 11/20/2000		11/20/2000	John R. Josephson	OSU1159-074D	5020	
8698	7590	08/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STANDLE 495 METRO		GROUP LLP	HIRL, JOSEPH P			
SUITE 210	TEACE	300111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DUBLIN, C)H 43017	7	2129			
			•	DATE MAILED: 00/04/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/717,332	JOSEPHSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joseph P. Hirl	2129	

Potoro the Eiling of an Annual Drief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joseph P. Hirl	2129					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31: or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILEI	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be supported to the support of	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		•	•				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO bw); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1:1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	 See attached Notice of Non-Co 	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a): !lowable if submitted in a concrete	time also file also assessed as					
the non-allowable claim(s) would be a	nowabie ii submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-14,16-20,25-29,31-36,39,42,43</u>	<u>,45-50,52-54,56,59,60,63-67,70-85</u>	5 and 87-91.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence i	s necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1)				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	o condition fortallation	nce because:				
	t account of place the application in	r condition for allowa	incernerause.				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	NO(3)	7				
		Ja.	•				
•		Λ					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: A new set of claims have been provided that will require further analysis and/or additional searching. Applicant is reminded that all claims must be embodied in the technical arts. A computer program per se is considered to be merely descriptive material (MPEP 2106).